

Shlomi Dolev (Ed.)

# Distributed Computing

20th International Symposium, DISC 2006  
Stockholm, Sweden, September 18-20, 2006  
Proceedings



Volume Editor

Shlomi Dolev  
Ben-Gurion University of the Negev  
Beer-Sheva, Israel  
E-mail: [dolev@cs.bgu.ac.il](mailto:dolev@cs.bgu.ac.il)

## Preface

DISC, the International Symposium on DIStributed Computing, is an annual forum for presentation of research on all facets of distributed computing, including the theory, design, analysis, implementation, and application of distributed systems and networks. The 20th anniversary edition of DISC was held on September 18-20, 2006, in Stockholm Sweden.

There were 145 extended abstracts submitted to DISC this year, and this volume contains the 35 contributions selected by the program committee and one invited paper among these 145 submissions. All submitted papers were read and evaluated by at least three program committee members, assisted by external reviewers. The final decision regarding every paper was taken during the program committee meeting, which took place in Beer-Sheva, June 30th and July 1st, 2006.

The Best Student Award was split and given to two papers: the paper “Exact Distance Labelings Yield Additive-Stretch Compact Routing Schemes”, by Arthur Bradly, and Lenore Cowen, and the paper “A Fast Distributed Approximation Algorithm for Minimum Spanning Trees” co-authored by Maleq Khan and Gopal Pandurangan.

The proceedings also include 13 three-page-long Brief Announcements (BA). These BAs are presentations of ongoing works for which full papers are not ready yet, or of recent results whose full description will be soon or has been recently presented in other conferences. Researchers use the brief announcement track to quickly draw the attention of the community to their experiences, insights and results from ongoing distributed computing research and projects. The BAs included in this proceedings were selected among 26 BA submissions.

DISC 2006 is organized in cooperation with the European Association for Theoretical Computer Science (EATCS) and the European Research Consortium for Informatics and Mathematics (ERCIM), Swedish Institute of Computer Science (SICS). The support of Ben-Gurion University, Microsoft Research, Intel, Sun microsystems, Deutsche Telekom Laboratories is also gratefully acknowledged.

July 2006

Shlomi Dolev  
DISC 2006 Program Chair



# DISC at its 20th anniversary: Past, Present and Future

Michel Raynal<sup>1</sup>, Sam Toueg<sup>2</sup> and Shmuel Zaks<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> IRISA, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes, France.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Computer Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Computer Science, Technion, Haifa, Israel.

## Prologue

DISC 2006 marks the 20th anniversary of the DISC conferences. We list below the special events that took place during DISC 2006, together with some information and perspectives on the past and future of DISC.

## Present: Special 20th anniversary events

The celebration of the 20th anniversary of DISC consisted in four main events: invited talks by three of the brightest figures of the distributed computing community, and a panel involving all the people who were at the very beginning of DISC:

- An invited talk “*Time, clocks and the ordering of my ideas about distributed systems*” by Leslie Lamport.
- An invited talk “*My early days in distributed computing theory: 1979-1982*” by Nancy Lynch.
- An invited talk “*Provably unbreakable hyper-encryption using distributed systems*” by Michael Rabin.
- A panel on “*The contributions of the WDAG/DISC community to distributed computing: a historical perspective*” by Eli Gafni, Jan van Leeuwen, Michel Raynal, Nicola Santoro and Shmuel Zaks (who were the PC members of the second WDAG, Amsterdam, 1987).

## Past: a short history

The *Workshop on Distributed Algorithms on Graphs* (WDAG) was initiated by Eli Gafni, Nicola Santoro and Jan van Leeuwen in 1985. It was intended to provide a forum for researchers and other interested parties to present and discuss recent results and trends in the design and analysis of distributed algorithms on communication networks and graphs.

Then, more than 10 years later, the acronym WDAG was changed to DISC (the international symposium on DIStributed Computing). This change was made to reflect the expansion from a workshop to a symposium as well as the expansion of the research areas of interest. So, following 11 successful WDAGs, DISC'98 was the 12th in the series.

Since 1996 WDAG/DISC has been managed by a Steering Committee consisting of some of the most experienced members of the distributed computing community. The main role of this committee is to provide guidance and leadership to ensure the continuing success of this conference. To do so, the committee oversees the continuous evolution of the symposium's research areas of interest, it forges ties with other related conferences and workshops, and it also maintains contact with Springer-Verlag and other professional or scientific sponsoring organizations (such as EATCS). The structure and rules of the DISC Steering Committee, which were composed by Sam Toueg and Shmuel Zaks, and approved by the participants at the the 1996 WDAG business meeting in Bologna, can be found at <http://www.disc-conference.org>. This site also contain information about previous WDAG and DISC conferences.

The location, program chairs, and proceedings of the past 20 WDAG/DISC meetings are summarized in Table 1, and the Steering Committee Chairs are listed in Table 2.

Year	Location	Program Chair(s)	Proceedings
1985	Ottawa	N. Santoro and J. van Leeuwen	Carleton Scientific
1987	Amsterdam	J. van Leeuwen	LNCS 312
1989	Nice	J.-Cl. Bermond and M. Raynal	LNCS 392
1990	Bari	N. Santoro and J. van Leeuwen	LNCS 486
1991	Delphi	S. Toueg and P. Spirakis	LNCS 579
1992	Haifa	A. Segall and S. Zaks	LNCS 647
1993	Lausanne	A. Schiper	LNCS 725
1994	Terschelling	G. Tel and P. Vitányi	LNCS 857
1995	Le Mont-Saint-Michel	J.-M. Hélary and M. Raynal	LNCS 972
1996	Bologna	Ö. Babaoglu and K. Marzullo	LNCS 1151
1997	Saarbrücken	M. Mavronicolas and Ph. Tsigas	LNCS 1320
1998	Andros	S. Kutten	LNCS 1499
1999	Bratislava	P. Jayanti	LNCS 1693
2000	Toledo	M. Herlihy	LNCS 1914
2001	Lisbon	J. Welch	LNCS 2180
2002	Toulouse	D. Malkhi	LNCS 2508
2003	Sorrento	F.E. Fich	LNCS 2848
2004	Amsterdam	R. Guerraoui	LNCS 3274
2005	Cracow	P. Frgaignaud	LNCS 3724
2006	Stockholm	S. Dolev	LNCS 4167

**Tabelle 1.** The past Wdag/Disc

1996-1998	1998-2000	2000-2002	2002-2004	2004-2006	2006-2008
Sam Toueg	Shmuel Zaks	André Schiper	Michel Raynal	Alex Shvartsman	Paul Vitányi

**Tabelle 2.** Steering committee chairs

## Epilogue, and Future

Together with the whole DISC community, we congratulate DISC for its 20th anniversary. We feel proud to have taken part in this important and successful activity of our research community, and are confident that DISC will continue to play a central role in years to come.

We wish to thank all those who contributed over the years to the success of DISC. Each played an essential role, and each forms a vital link in the DISC chain:

- The local organizers, and their teams, who did everything to ensure a smooth and successful conference,
- The program committee chairs, program committee members, and external referees, who ensured the high academic level of the conference,
- The participants of the WDAG and DISC conferences,
- The steering committee members,
- The sponsor organizations, for their generous support over the years, and - last but not least -
- All the members of the distributed computing community who submitted papers to WDAG and DISC.

We are confident that the DISC community will continue to play a central role within the distributed computing and communication networks research communities for many years to come.

HAPPY ANNIVERSARY TO DISC!



This photo is from DISC 2005 in Cracow, Poland, and was taken during the banquet at *Wierzynek 1364* restaurant (one of the oldest restaurants in Europe). It shows the first five chairs of the DISC steering committee (from left to right: Shmuel Zaks, Alex Shvartsman, Michel Raynal, André Schiper and Sam Toueg).

INVITED TALK I

# Provably Unbreakable Hyper-Encryption Using Distributed Systems

Michael O. Rabin

DEAS Harvard University  
Cambridge, MA 02138  
rabin@deas.harvard.edu

Encryption is a fundamental building block for computer and communications technologies. Existing encryption methods depend for their security on unproven assumptions. We propose a new model, the Limited Access model for enabling a simple and practical provably unbreakable encryption scheme. A voluntary distributed network of thousands of computers each maintain and update random pages, and act as Page Server Nodes. A Sender and Receiver share a random key  $K$ . They use  $K$  to randomly select the same PSNs and download the same random pages. These are employed in groups of say 30 pages to extract One Time Pads common to  $S$  and  $R$ . Under reasonable assumptions of an Adversary's inability to monitor all PSNs, and easy ways for  $S$  and  $R$  to evade monitoring while downloading pages, Hyper Encryption is clearly unbreakable. The system has been completely implemented.

Modern encryption methods depend for their security on assumptions concerning the intractability of various computational problems such as the factorization of large integers into prime factors or the computation of the discrete log function in large finite groups. Even if true, there are currently no methods for proving such assumptions. At the same time, even if these problems will be shown to be of super-polynomial complexity, there is steady progress in the development of practical algorithms for the solution of progressively larger instances of the problems in question. Thus there is no firm reason to believe that any of the encryptions in actual use is now safe, or an indication as to how long it will remain so. Furthermore, if and when the current intensive work on Quantum Computing will produce actual quantum computers, then the above encryptions will succumb to these machines.

At present there are three major proposals for producing provably unbreakable encryption methods. Quantum Cryptography employs properties of quantum mechanics to enable a Sender and Receiver to create common One Time Pads (OTPs) which are secret against any Adversary. The considerable research and development work as well as the funding invested in this effort are testimony to the need felt for an absolutely safe encryption technology. At present Quantum Cryptography systems are limited in range to a few tens of miles, are sensitive to noise or disturbance of the transmission medium, and require rather expensive special equipment.

The Limited Storage Model was proposed by U. Maurer. It postulates a public intensive source of random bits. An example would be a satellite or a



system of satellites containing a Physical Random Number Generator (PRNG) beaming down a stream of random numbers, say at the rate of 100GB/sec. S and R use a small shared key, and use those bits and the key to form OTPs which are subsequently employed in the usual manner to encrypt messages. The Limited Storage Model further postulates that for any Adversary or group of Adversaries it is technically or financially infeasible to store more than a fraction, say half, as many bits as there are in a. It was proved by Aumann, Rabin, and Ding and later by Dziembowski-Maurer, that under the Limited Storage Model assumptions, one can construct schemes producing OTPs which are essentially random even for a computationally unbounded (but storage limited) Adversary. The critique of the Limited Storage Model is three-fold. It requires a system of satellites, or other distribution methods, which are very expensive. The above rate of transmission for satellites is right now outside the available capabilities. More fundamentally, with the rapid decline of cost of storage it is not clear that storage is a limiting factor. For example, at a cost of \$ 1 per GB, storing the above mentioned stream of bytes will cost about \$ 3 Billion per year. And the cost of storage seems to go down very rapidly.

The Limited Access Model postulates a system comprising a multitude of sources of random bytes available to the Sender and Receiver. Each of these sources serves as a Page Server Node (PSN) and has a supply of random pages. Sender and Receiver initially have a shared key K. Using K, Sender and Receiver asynchronously in time access the same PSNs and download the same random pages. The Limited Access assumption is that an Adversary cannot monitor or compromise more than a fraction of the PSNs while the Sender or Receiver download pages. After downloading sufficiently many pages, S and R make sure that they have the same pages by employing a Page Reconciliation Protocol. They now employ the common random pages according to a common scheme in groups of, say, 30 pages to extract an OTP from each group. Let us assume that the extraction method is simply taking the XOR of these pages. The common OTPs are used for encryption in the usual manner.

A crucially important point is that a Page Server Node sends out a requested random page at most twice, then destroys and replaces it by a new page. Opportunity knocks only twice!

Why is this scheme absolutely secure? Assume that we have 5,000 voluntary participants acting as PSNs. Assume that a, possibly distributed, Adversary can eavesdrop, monitor or corrupt (including by acting as imposter) no more than 1000 of these nodes. Thus the probability that in the random choice of the 30 PSNs from which a group of 30 pages are downloaded and XORed, all 30 pages will be known to the Adversary is smaller than  $(1/5)^{30}$ , i.e., totally negligible. But if an Adversary misses even one page out of the 30 random pages that are XORed into an OTP then the OTP is completely random for him.

The send at most twice, then destroy policy, prevents a powerful Adversary from asking for a large number of pages from each of the PSNs and thereby gain copies of pages common to S and R. The worst that can happen is that, say, S will download a page P from PSN<sub>i</sub> and the Adversary (or another user of

Hyper-Encryption) has or will download the same page P from PSNi. When R now requests according to the key K the same page from PSNi, he will not get it. So R and S never have a page P in common if P was also downloaded by a third party. The only consequence of an Adversary's down-loading from too many PSNs is denial of service to the legitimate users of the system. This is a problem for any server system and there are ways of dealing with this type of attack.

What if an Adversary eavesdrops onto the Sender and or Receiver while they are downloading pages from PSNs. Well, S and R can go to an Internet café or one of those establishments allowing a customer to obtain an Internet connection. They can use a device that does not identify them and download thousands of pages from PSNs within a short time. The salient point is that S and R need not time-synchronize their access to the PSNs. Once S and R have common OTPs, they can securely communicate from their fixed known locations with immunity against eavesdropping or code breaking.

The initial key K is continually extended and updated by S and R using common One Time Pads. Each pair of random words from K is used to select a PSN and a page from that PSN only once and then discarded. This is essential for the absolute security of Hyper Encryption.

With all these provisions Hyper Encryption in the Limited Access Model also provides Ever Lasting Secrecy. Let us make a worst case assumption that the initial common key K or its later extensions were lost or stolen after their use to collect common random pages from PSNs. Those pages are not available any more as a result of the send only twice and destroy policy. Thus the extracted OTPs used to encrypt messages cannot be reconstructed and the encryption is valid in perpetuity. By contrast, all the existing public or private key encryption methods are vulnerable to the retroactive decryption attack if the key is lost or algorithms come up that break the encryption algorithm.

We shall also describe an additional scheme based on the use of search engines for the generation of OTPs and of unbreakable encryption.

Our systems were fully coded in Java for distribution as freeware amongst interested users. All the protocols described below are running in the background on the participating computers and impose negligible computational and storage overheads on the host computer.

INVITED TALK II  
**Time, Clocks, and the Ordering of My Ideas  
about Distributed Systems**

Leslie Lamport

Microsoft Corporation  
1065 La Avenida  
Mountain View, CA 94043  
U.S.A.  
`lamport@microsoft.com`

A guided tour through the labyrinth of my thoughts, from the Bakery Algorithm to arbiter-free marked graphs. This exercise in egotism is by invitation of the DISC 20th Anniversary Committee. I take no responsibility for the choice of topic.

INVITED TALK III  
**My Early Days in Distributed Computing  
Theory: 1979-1982**

Nancy Lynch

CSAIL, MIT  
Cambridge, MA 02139  
U.S.A.

`lynch@theory.csail.mit.edu`

I first became involved in Distributed Computing Theory around 1978 or 1979, as a new professor at Georgia Tech. Looking back at my first few years in the field, approximately 1979-1982, I see that they were tremendously exciting, productive, and fun. I collaborated with, and learned from, many leaders of the field, including Mike Fischer, Jim Burns, Michael Merritt, Gary Peterson, Danny Dolev, and Leslie Lamport.

Results that emerged during that time included space lower bounds for mutual exclusion; definition of the k-exclusion problem, with associated lower bounds and algorithms; the Burns-Lynch lower bound on the number of registers needed for mutual exclusion; fast network-wide resource allocation algorithms; the Lynch-Fischer semantic model for distributed systems (a precursor to I/O automata); early work on proof techniques for distributed algorithms; lower bounds on the number of rounds for Byzantine agreement; definition of the approximate agreement problem and associated algorithms; and finally, the Fischer-Lynch-Paterson impossibility result for consensus.

In this talk, I will review this early work, trying to explain how we were thinking at the time, and how the ideas in these projects influenced later work.

# Panel on the Contributions of the DISC Community to Distributed Computing: a Historical Perspective

Eli Gafni<sup>a</sup>, Jan van Leeuwen<sup>b</sup>, Michel Raynal<sup>c</sup>, Nicola Santoro<sup>d</sup> and Shmuel  
Zaks<sup>e</sup>

a: UCLA, CA, USA [eli@cs.ucla.edu](mailto:eli@cs.ucla.edu)

b: Utrecht University, The Netherlands [jan@cs.uu.nl](mailto:jan@cs.uu.nl)

c: IRISA, Université de Rennes, France [raynal@irisa.fr](mailto:raynal@irisa.fr)

d: Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada [santoro@scs.carleton.ca](mailto:santoro@scs.carleton.ca)

e: The Technion, Haifa, Israel [zaks@cs.technion.ac.il](mailto:zaks@cs.technion.ac.il)

This panel discussed the contributions of the DISC community to distributed computing. The panelists (Eli Gafni, Jan van Leeuwen, Nicola Santoro, Shmuel Zaks) and the moderator (Michel Raynal) were the members of the program committee of the second DISC (called WDAG at that time), held in Amsterdam.

At the very beginning, WDAG was centered mainly on distributed algorithms on graphs. Subsequently, while keeping its main focus on distributed algorithms, WDAG evolved and adopted a more general view of the research area, changed its name and became DISC. In a continuous manner, new topics have always appeared in the DISC call for papers (and also in accepted papers!). These include ubiquitous computing, cryptography, autonomic computing to name only a few. The scientific DISC contributions are numerous. They are on distributed computing models, algorithm design, complexity, possibility/impossibility results, distributed computability, lower bounds, etc. The panel reviewed the status of many contributions to network protocol design and to the understanding of distributed computing in general. It also discussed the possible ways in which DISC may evolve in the future.

## Organization

DISC, the International Symposium on DIStributed Computing, is an annual forum for research presentations on all facets of distributed computing. The symposium was called the International Workshop on Distributed Algorithms (WDAG) from 1985 to 1997. DISC 2006 is organized in cooperation with the European Association for Theoretical Computer Science (EATCS).



## Steering Committee

Hagit Attiya	Technion
Shlomi Dolev	BGU
Pierre Fraigniaud	Université Paris Sus
Rachid Guerraoui	EPFL
Alexander Shvartsman	UCONN, Chair
Paul Vitanyi	CWI, vise-Chair
Roger Wattenhofer	ETH Zurich

## Organization Committee

Conference Chairs	Lenka Carr-Motyckova, LUT, Luleå Tekniska Universitet Seif Haridi, SICS, Swedish Institute of Computer Science AB
Program Chair	Shlomi Dolev, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev
20th Anniversay Celebration Chair	Michel Raynal IRISA, Université de Rennes
Web Chair	Heleèn Martin, SICS, Swedish Institute of Computer Science AB
Finance Chair	Charlotta Jörsäter, SICS, Swedish Institute of Computer Science AB

## Program Committee

Lenka Carr-Motyckova	LUT
Shlomi Dolev	BGU, <b>Program Chair</b>
Christof Fetzer	Technische Universitat Dresden
Tim Harris	Microsoft Research Cambridge
Maurice Herlihy	Brown University
Jaap-Henk Hoepman	RU Nijmegen
Prasad Jayanti	Dartmouth College
Dariusz Kowalski	University of Liverpool
Danny Krizanc	Wesleyan University
Fabian Kuhn	Microsoft Research Silicon Valley
Nancy Lynch	MIT
Anna Lysyanskaya	Brown University
Petros Maniatis	Intel Research Berkeley
Mark Moir	SUN Microsystems Laboratories
Seffi Naor	Microsoft Research and Technion
Marina Papatrifaflou	Chalmers University
Andrzej Pelc	Université du Québec
Michel Raynal	IRISA, Université de Rennes
André Schiper	EPFL
Gadi Taubenfeld	Interdisciplinary Center
Sébastien Tixeuil	Université Paris Sud
Frits Vaandrager	RU Nijmegen

## Sponsors



## Referees

Ittai Abraham	Jittat	Ronen Kat	Rami Puzis
Yehuda Afek	Fakcharoenphol	Idit Keidar	Tomasz Radzik
Marcos Aguilera	Rui Fan	Alex Kesselman	Sylvia Ratnasamy
James Aspnes	Hugues Fauconnier	Ralf Klasing	Rodrigo Rodrigues
Hagit Attiya	Sasha Fedorova	Geir Koién	Mariusz Rokicki
Gildas Avoine	Eyal Felstaine	Boris Koldehofe	Christian Scheideler
Liskov Barbara	Tim Finin	Kishori Konwar	Elad Michael Schiller
Amotz Bar-Noy	Hen Fitoussi	Marina Kopeetsky	Roberto Segala
Rida A. Bazzi	Pierre Fraigniaud	Maciej Kurowski	Ori Shalev
Amos Beimel	Nissim Francez	Klaus Kursawe	Nir Shavit
Fredrik Bengtsson	Matt Franklin	Shay Kutten	Abhi Shelat
Vartika Bhandari	Eli Gafni	Limor Lahiani	Alex Shvartsman
Andreas Blass	Juan Garay	Kevin Lai	Radu Siminiceanu
Paolo Boldi	Flavio Garcia	Zvi Lotker	Thanh Son
Glencora Borradaile	Vijay K. Garg	Victor Luchangco	Thanh Son Nguyen
Anat Bremler-Barr	Cyril Gavoille	Ritesh Madan	Paul Spirakis
Olga Brukman	Lezek Gasieniec	Adam Malinowski	Scott Stoller
Harry Buhrman	Roland Gemesi	Stéphane Messika	Michał Strojnowski
Chi Cao Minh	Chryssis Georgiou	Yves Metivier	Ram Swaminathan
Bernadette	Sukumar Ghosh	Maria Meyerovich	Boleslaw K. Szymanski
Charron-Bost	Andres Gidenstam	Maged Michael	Nesime Tatbul
Jingsen Chen	Seth Gilbert	Saya Mitra	Philippas Tsigas
Wei Chen	Mayer Goldberg	Emilia Monakhova	Nir Tzachar
Yan Chenyu	Maria Gradinariu	Achour Mostefaoui	Shinya Umeno
Bogdan Chlebus	Michael Greenwald	Mikhail Nesterenko	Eli Upfal
Lukasz Chmielewski	Rachid Guerraoui	Calvin Newport	Sebastiano Vigna
Gregory Chockler	Phuong Ha Hoai	Tina Nolte	Jennifer Walter
Byung-Gon Chun	Yinnon Haviv	Boaz Patt-Shamir	Michael Warres
Mike Dahlin	Danny Hendler	Fernando Pedone	Mike Warres
Xavier Défago	Thomas Herault	David Peleg	Jennifer Welch
Carole	Ted Herman	Franck Petit	Yang Xiang
Delporte-Gallet	Chien-Chung	Kaustubh Phanse	Reuven Yagel
Feodor Dragan	Huang	Laurence Pilard	Praveen Yalagandula
Michael Elkin	Michel Hurfin	Benny Pinkas	Piotr Zielinski
Robert Ennals	Adam Iwanicki	Sara Porat	Michele Zito
Leah Epstein	Tomas Johansson	Guiseppe Prencipe	Uri Zwick



# Table of Contents

Exploring Gafni’s reduction land: from $\Omega^k$ to wait-free adaptive ( $2p - \lceil \frac{p}{k} \rceil$ )-renaming via $k$ -set agreement .....	1
<i>Achour Mostefaoui, Michel Raynal, Corentin Travers</i>	
Renaming in Message Passing Systems with Byzantine Failures .....	16
<i>Michael Okun, Amnon Barak</i>	
Built-in Coloring for Highly-Concurrent Doubly-Linked Lists .....	31
<i>Hagit Attiya, Eshcar Hillel</i>	
Fault-tolerant and Self-stabilizing Mobile Robots Gathering .....	46
<i>Xavier Défago, Maria Gradinariu, Stéphane Messika, Philippe Raipin-Parvédy</i>	
Fast Computation by Population Protocols With a Leader .....	61
<i>Dana Angluin, James Aspnes, David Eisenstat</i>	
On Self-Stabilizing Search Trees .....	76
<i>Doina Bein, Ajoy K. Datta, Lawrence L. Larmore</i>	
Efficient Dynamic Aggregation .....	90
<i>Yitzhak Birk, Idit Keidar, Liran Liss, Assaf Schuster</i>	
Groupings and Pairings in Anonymous Networks .....	105
<i>Jérémie Chalopin, Shantanu Das, Nicola Santoro</i>	
A New Proof of the GHS Minimum-spanning Tree Algorithm .....	120
<i>Yoram Moses, Benny Shimony</i>	
A Knowledge-Based Analysis of Global Function Computation .....	136
<i>Joseph Y. Halpren, Sabina Petride</i>	
Checking a Multithreaded Algorithm with +CAL .....	151
<i>Leslie Lamport</i>	
Capturing Register and Control Dependence in Memory Consistency Models with Applications to the Itanium Architecture .....	164
<i>Lisa Higham, LillAnne Jackson, Jalal Kawash</i>	
Conflict Detection and Validation Strategies for Software Transactional Memory .....	179
<i>Michael F. Spear, Virendra J. Marathe, William N. Scherer III, Michael L. Scott</i>	
Transactional Locking II .....	194

*Dave Dice, Ori Shalev, Nir Shavit*

Less is More: Consensus Gaps Between Restricted and Unrestricted Objects .....	209
<i>Yehuda Afek, Eran Shalom</i>	
One-Step Consensus Solvability .....	224
<i>Taisuke Izumi, Toshimitsu Masuzawa</i>	
Time-Bounded Task-PIOAs: A Framework for Analyzing Security Protocols (Invited Paper) .....	239
<i>Ran Canetti, Ling Cheung, Dilsun Kaynar, Moses Liskov, Nancy Lynch, Olivier Pereira, and Roberto Segala</i>	
On Consistency of Encrypted Files .....	255
<i>Alina Oprea, Michael K. Reiter</i>	
Agreeing to Agree: Conflict Resolution for Optimistically Replicated Data	270
<i>Michael B. Greenwald, Sanjeev Khanna, Keshav Kunal, Benjamin C. Pierce, Alan Schmitt</i>	
A Lazy Snapshot Algorithm with Eager Validation .....	285
<i>Torvald Riegel, Pascal Felber, Christof Fetzer</i>	
Bounded Wait-Free $f$ -resilient Atomic Byzantine Data Storage Systems for an Unbounded Number of Clients .....	300
<i>Rida A. Bazzi, Yin Ding</i>	
Time and Communication Efficient Consensus for Crash Failures .....	315
<i>Bogdan S. Chlebus, Dariusz R. Kowalski</i>	
Subconsensus Tasks: Renaming is Weaker than Set Agreement .....	330
<i>Eli Gafni, Sergio Rajsbaum, Maurice Herlihy</i>	
Exact Distance Labelings Yield Additive-Stretch Compact Routing Schemes .....	341
<i>Arthur Brady, Lenore Cowen</i>	
A Fast Distributed Approximation Algorithm for Minimum Spanning Trees	356
<i>Maleq Khan, Gopal Pandurangan</i>	
On Randomized Broadcasting in Power Law Networks .....	371
<i>Robert Elsasser</i>	
Distributed Approximation Algorithms in Unit-disk Graphs .....	386
<i>A. Czygrinow, M. Hańćkowiak</i>	
The Weakest Failure Detectors to Boost Obstruction-Freedom .....	400

<i>Rachid Guerraoui, Michal Kapalka, Petr Kouznetsov</i>	
Fully Adaptive Algorithms for Long-Lived Renaming.....	414
<i>Alex Brodsky, Faith Ellen, Philipp Woelfel</i>	
Constructing Shared Objects that are Both Robust and High-Throughput	429
<i>Danny Hendler, Shay Kutten</i>	
Byzantine and Multi-writer K-quorums .....	444
<i>Amitanand S. Aiyer, Lorenzo Alvisi, Rida A. Bazzi</i>	
On Minimizing the Number of ADMs in a General Topology Optical Network.....	459
<i>Michele Flammini, Mordechai Shalom, Shmuel Zaks</i>	
Robust Network Supercomputing with Malicious Processes.....	474
<i>Kishori M. Konwar, Sanguthevar Rajasekaran, Alexander A. Shvartsman</i>	
Distributed Resource Allocation in Stream Processing.....	489
<i>Cathy H. Xia, James A. Broberg, Zhen Liu, Li Zhang</i>	
Low-latency Atomic Broadcast in the Presence of Contention .....	504
<i>Piotr Zieliński</i>	
Oblivious Gradient Clock Synchronization .....	519
<i>Thomas Locher, Roger Wattenhofer</i>	
Brief Announcement: Abortable and Query-abortable Objects .....	533
<i>Marcos K. Aguilera, Svend Frolund, Vassos Hadzilacos, Stephanie Lorraine Horn, Sam Toueg</i>	
Brief Announcement: Fault-Tolerant SemiFast Implementations of Atomic Read/Write Registers.....	536
<i>Chryssis Georgiou, Nicolas C. Nicolaou, Alexander A. Shvartsman</i>	
Brief Announcement: Convergence Analysis of Scalable Gossip Protocols ..	539
<i>Stacy Patterson, Bassam Bamieh, Amr El Abbadi</i>	
Brief Announcement: Computing Automatically the Stabilization Time Against the Best and the Worst Schedules .....	542
<i>Joffroy Beauquier, Colette Johnen, Stéphane Messika</i>	
Brief Announcement: Many Slices are Better than One.....	545
<i>Vinit A. Ogale, Vijay K. Garg</i>	
Brief Announcement: on Augmented Graph Navigability .....	548
<i>Pierre Fraigniaud, Emmanuelle Lebhar, Zvi Lotker</i>	

Brief Announcement: Decoupled Quorum-based Byzantine-Resilient Coordination in Open Distributed Systems .....	551
<i>Alysson Neves Bessani, Miguel Correia, Joni da Silva Fraga, Lau Cheuk Lung</i>	
Brief Announcement: Optimistic Algorithms for Partial Database Replication .....	554
<i>Nicolas Schiper, Rodrigo Schmidt, Fernando Pedone</i>	
Brief Announcement: Performance Analysis of Cyclon, an Inexpensive Membership Management for Unstructured P2P Overlays .....	557
<i>François Bonnet, Frédéric Tronel, Spyros Voulgaris</i>	
Brief Announcement: Decentralized, Connectivity-Preserving, and Cost-Effective Structured Overlay Maintenance .....	560
<i>Yu Chen, Wei Chen</i>	
Brief Announcement: Monitoring of Distributed Linear Computations .....	563
<i>Anton Esin, Rostislav Yavorskiy, Nikolay Zemtsov</i>	
Brief Announcement: Communication-optimal Implementation of Failure Detector Class $\diamond P$ .....	566
<i>Mikel Larrea, Alberto Lafuente, and Joachim Wieland</i>	
Brief Announcement: Synchronous Distributed Algorithms for Node Discovery and Configuration in Multi-channel Cognitive Radio Networks .....	569
<i>Srinivasan Krishnamurthy, R. Chandrasekaran, Neeraj Mittal and S. Venkatesan</i>	
Author Index .....	572

## Author Index

- Yehuda Afek 209  
Marcos K. Aguilera 533  
Amitanand S. Aiyer 444  
Lorenzo Alvisi 444  
Dana Angluin 61  
James Aspnes 61  
Hagit Attiya 31
- Bassam Bamieh 539  
Amnon Barak 16  
Rida A. Bazzi 300, 444  
Joffroy Beauquier 542  
Doina Bein 76  
Yitzhak Birk 90  
Franc ois Bonnet 557  
Arthur Brady 341  
James A. Broberg 489  
Alex Brodsky 414
- Ran Canetti 239  
J r mie Chalopin 105  
R. Chandrasekaran 569  
Wei Chen 560  
Yu Chen 560  
Lau Cheuk Lung 551  
Ling Cheung 239  
Bogdan S. Chlebus 315  
Miguel Correia 551  
Lenore Cowen 341  
A. Czygrinow 386
- Shantanu Das 105  
Joni da Silva Fraga 551  
Ajoy K. Datta 76  
Xavier D fago 46  
Dave Dice 194  
Yin Ding 300
- David Eisenstat 61  
Amr El Abbadi 539  
Faith Ellen 414  
Robert Els sler 371  
Anton Esin 563
- Pascal Felber 285  
Christof Fetzer 285  
Michele Flammini 459  
Pierre Fraigniaud 548  
Svend Frolund 533
- Eli Gafni 330  
Vijay K. Garg 545  
Chryssis Georgiou 536  
Maria Gradinariu 46  
Michael B. Greenwald 270  
Rachid Guerraoui 400
- M. Ha nckowiak 386  
Vassos Hadzilacos 533  
Joseph Y. Halpren 136  
Danny Hendler 429  
Maurice Herlihy 330  
Lisa Higham 164  
Eshcar Hillel 31
- Taisuke Izumi 224
- LillAnne Jackson 164  
Colette Johnen 542
- Michal Kapalka 400  
Jalal Kawash 164  
Dilsun Kaynar 239  
Idit Keidar 90

Maleq Khan 356  
 Sanjeev Khanna 270  
 Kishori M. Konwar 474  
 Petr Kouznetsov 400  
 Dariusz R. Kowalski 315  
 Srinivasan Krishnamurthy 569  
 Keshav Kunal 270  
 Shay Kutten 429

Alberto Lafuente 566  
 Leslie Lamport 151  
 Lawrence L. Larmore 76  
 Mikel Larrea 566  
 Emmanuelle Lebhar 548  
 Moses Liskov 239  
 Liran Liss 90  
 Zhen Liu 489  
 Thomas Locher 519  
 Stephanie Lorraine Horn 533  
 Zvi Lotker 548  
 Nancy Lynch 239

Virendra J. Marathe 179  
 Toshimitsu Masuzawa 224  
 Stéphane Messika 46, 542  
 Neeraj Mittal 569  
 Yoram Moses 120  
 Achour Mostefaoui 1

Alysson Neves Bessani 551  
 Nicolas C. Nicolaou 536

Vinit A. Ogale 545  
 Michael Okun 16  
 Alina Oprea 255

Gopal Pandurangan 356  
 Stacy Patterson 539  
 Fernando Pedone 554

Oliver Pereira 239  
 Sabina Petride 136  
 Benjamin C. Pierce 270

Philippe Raipin-Parvédy 46  
 Sanguthevar Rajasekaran 474  
 Sergio Rajsbaum 330  
 Michel Raynal 1  
 Michael K. Reiter 255  
 Torvald Riegel 285

Nicola Santoro 105  
 William N. Scherer III 179  
 Nicolas Schiper 554  
 Rodrigo Schmidt 554  
 Alan Schmitt 270  
 Assaf Schuster 90  
 Michael L. Scott 179  
 Roberto Segala 239  
 Ori Shalev 194  
 Eran Shalom 209  
 Mordechai Shalom 459  
 Nir Shavit 194  
 Benny Shimony 120  
 Alexander A. Shvartsman 474,  
 536  
 Michael F. Spear 179

Sam Toueg 533  
 Corentin Travers 1  
 Frédéric Tronel 557

S. Venkatesan 569  
 Spyros Voulgaris 557

Roger Wattenhofer 519  
 Joachim Wieland 566  
 Philipp Woelfel 414

Cathy H. Xia 489

Rostislav Yavorskiy 563

Shmuel Zaks 459

Nikolay Zemtsov 563

Li Zhang 489

Piotr Zieliński 504